

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/843,295	04/25/2001	Noel Caplice	07039-175001	7824		
26191	7590 05/06/2003					
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			EXAMINER			
3300 DAIN RASCHER PLAZA 60 SOUTH SIXTH STREET			NAFF, DA	AFF, DAVID M		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1651 DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	((		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ce che	P			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit				
-	16 Al		1651				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—							
Period for Reply	6						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S	) FROM THE MAI	LING DATE			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, experience or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute</li> </ul>	within the statutory minim price SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) n the mailing date	days will be consider e of this communicati	ed timely. on .			
Status / /							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3						
☐ This action is FINAL.							
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935			the merits is clo	sed in			
Disposition of Claims							
Claim(s)	is/are p	$\_$ is/are pending in the application.					
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are	_ is/are allowed.					
Claim(s) 1-37	is/are ı	rejected.					
☐ Claim(s)	is/are	objected to.	·				
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.							
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		* N					
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>	e priority documents ha	ave been					
<ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International</li> </ul>							
*Certified copies not received:		<u></u>	•				
Attachment(s)							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(	s) 🗆 lı	nterview Sumr	mary, PTO-413				
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		lotice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other					
Office Action Summary							

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_/\_\_

Application Number: 09/843,295 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

5

The amendment of 1/22/03 canceled claims 38 and 39 and amended claim 7.

Claims examined on the merits are 1-37 which are all claims in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 12, 13, 24-26 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

15 as being anticipated by Ducheyne (5,030,233).

The claims are drawn to an implantable medical device comprising a non-woven framework of metal fibers and pores having an average size of at least 40  $\mu \rm m$  .

Ducheyne disclose a porous metal material for surgical implantation 20 made of metal fibers such as stainless steel or titanium (col 12, lines 45-46), and having a pore size of at least 150 micrometers (col 12, lines 49-50. The material is made by sintering the fibers together (col 7, lines 25-45). As shown by Figure 1, the material is non-woven.

The implant made from metal fibers disclosed by Ducheyne is the same as presently claimed.

Application Number: 09/843,295 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

20

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5-7, 14-20 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ducheyne in view of Vacanti et al (5,567,612).

The claims require the device to contain extracellular matrix protein, cells and/or the fibers to made from a polymer.

Vacanti et al disclose an implant for generating tissue which can be made of polymer fibers (col 5, lines 45-52), can be coated with extracellular matrix protein (col 5, lines 15-20) and can contain cells (col 6, lines 5-10).

It would have been obvious to provide the metal fiber implant of 30 Ducheyne with extracellular matrix protein and/or cells for their Application Number: 09/843,295 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

20

expected function as disclosed by Vacanti et al. It would have been further obvious to use polymer fibers in place of metal fibers since it would have been apparent from Vacanti et al that polymer fibers can provide the same type of function as the metal fibers when constructing an implant.

Claims 8, 21-23 and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 5-7, 14-20 and 32-34 above, and further in view of Ferrara et al (6,455,283 B1).

The claims require cells that express a polypeptide such as 10 vascular endothelial growth factor.

Ferrara et al disclose transforming cells to produce vascular endothelial cell growth factor with a nucleic acid encoding the factor.

When providing cells in the metal fiber implant of Ducheyne as set forth above, it would have been obvious to use cells encoded to produce vascular endothelial growth factor as disclosed by Ferrara et al to obtain the expected function of the growth factor to promote the growth of cells contained by the implant.

Claims 9-11 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 5-7, 14-20 and 32-34 above, and further in view of Davidson (5,690,670).

The claims require the implant to be in the form of a stent or vascular graft.

Davidson discloses stents or vascular grafts made fabricated from a metal alloy (abstract and col 4, lines 6-40).

Application Number: 09/843,295

Art Unit: 1651

It would have been obvious to make the metal fiber implant of Ducheyne in the form of a stent and vascular graft to obtain their expected function as disclosed by Davidson when made of a metal alloy.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff whose telephone number is (703) 308-0520. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from about 8:30 AM to about 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, a 10 message can be left on voice mail.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn, can be reached at telephone number (703) 308-4743.

The fax phone number is (703) 872-9306 before final rejection or (703) 872-9307 after final rejection.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

20

DAVID M. NAFF PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1285 Page 5

DMN 5/2/03